arXiv:1206.0993v1 [math.AP] 5 Jun 2012

INVISCID LIMIT FOR AXISYMMETRIC STRATIFIED NAVIER-STOKES SYSTEM

SAMIRA SULAIMAN

Abstract. This paper is devoted to the study of the Cauchy problem for the stratified Navier-Stokes system in space dimension three. In the first part of the paper, we prove the existence of a unique global solution (v_ν,ρ_ν) for this system with axisymmetric initial data belonging to the Sobolev spaces $H^s\times H^{s-2}$ with $s>\frac{5}{2}$. The bounds of the solution are uniform with respect to the viscosity. In the second part, we analyse the inviscid limit problem. We prove the strong convergence in the space $L^\infty_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^s\times H^{s-2})$ of the viscous solutions $(v_\nu,\rho_\nu)_{\nu>0}$ to the solution (v,ρ) of the stratified Euler system.

Contents

1. Introduction and main results 1
2. Tools and functional spaces 5
2.1. Notation 5
2.2. Usual and heterogeneous Besov spaces 7
2.3. Lorentz spaces and interpolation 8
2.4. Estimates for a transport-diffusion equation 8
3. Commutator estimates 9
4. Proof of Theorem 1.2 18
4.1. A priori estimates 19
4.2. Inviscid limit 25
References 27

1. Introduction and main results

In this paper, we consider the incompressible stratified Navier-Stokes system in space dimension three

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v_\nu + v_\nu\cdot\nabla v_\nu - \nu\Delta v_\nu + \nabla p_\nu = \rho_\nu\, e_z, & (t,x)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^3\\ \partial_t\rho_\nu + v_\nu\cdot\nabla\rho_\nu - \Delta\rho_\nu = 0\\ \operatorname{div} v_\nu = 0\\ (v_\nu,\rho_\nu)_{|t=0} = (v^0,\rho^0). \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Here, the vector field $v_\nu=(v_\nu^1,v_\nu^2,v_\nu^3)$ stands for the velocity of the fluid and it is assumed to be divergence-free, the scalar function ρ_ν denotes the density and p_ν is the pressure. The parameter $\nu>0$ is the kinematic viscosity and the vector e_z is given by $(0,0,1)$. Remark that the usual incompressible Navier-Stokes system arises as a particular case of (1.1): it suffices to take $\rho=$ constant. It reads as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v_\nu + v_\nu\cdot\nabla v_\nu - \nu\Delta v_\nu + \nabla p_\nu = 0\\ \operatorname{div} v_\nu = 0\\ v_{\nu|t=0} = v^0. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

The mathematical theory of the Navier-Stokes equations (1.2) was initiated by Leray in [18]. He proved the global existence of a weak global solution for the system (1.2) in the energy space by using a compactness method. Nevertheless, the uniqueness of these solutions is only known in space dimension two. Few decades later, Fujita and Kato [9] proved the local well-posedness in the critical Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, by using a fixed point argument and taking benefit of the time decay of the heat semiflow. The global existence of these solutions is only proved for small initial data and the question for large data remains an outstanding open problem. For more discussion, we refer the reader for example to the papers [15, 16, 22].
It seems that we can give a positive answer for the global existence when the initial data are not necessarily small but must have some special symmetry. Before going further into the details, let us first write the equation of the vorticity which plays a central role in the theory of the global well-posedness. For a given vector field v, the vorticity ω is defined by $\omega = \operatorname{curl} v = \nabla \times v$ and in the case of the system (1.2) it solves the transport-diffusion equation,

$$\partial_t \omega_\nu + v_\nu \cdot \nabla \omega_\nu - \nu \Delta \omega_\nu = \omega_\nu \cdot \nabla v_\nu. \tag{1.3}$$

The main difficulty is related to the dynamics of the stretching term $\omega_\nu \cdot \nabla v_\nu$ which is very complex and generates a lot of unsolved problems . Now we will see how to use the axisymmetry of the flows in order to get a better understanding of the stretching term. We start with the following definition:

Definition 1.1. We say that a vector field v is axisymmetric (without swirl) if it takes the form:

$$v(t,x) = v^r(t,r,z)e_r + v^z(t,r,z)e_z,$$

where $z = x_3$, $x = (x_1, x_2, z)$, $r = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and (e_r, e_θ, e_z) is the cylindrical basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$ given by :

$$e_r = \big(\frac{x_1}{r}, \frac{x_2}{r}, 0\big) \quad e_\theta = \big(-\frac{x_2}{r}, \frac{x_1}{r}, 0\big) \quad \text{and} \quad e_z = (0,0,1).$$

The components v^r and v^z do not depend on the angular variable θ.

We need in what follows to recall some basic algebraic properties related to some computations in the cylindrical coordinates system. For example, for an axisymmetric vector field v, the operators $v \cdot \nabla$ and div can be written under the form:

$$\begin{aligned} v \cdot \nabla &= v^r \partial_r + \frac{1}{r} v^\theta \partial_\theta + v^z \partial_z \\ &= v^r \partial_r + v^z \partial_z \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\operatorname{div} v = \partial_r v^r + \frac{v^r}{r} + \partial_z v^z.$$

The vorticity ω of the vector field v has the special form :

$$\omega = (\partial_z v^r - \partial_r v^z) e_\theta := \omega^\theta e_\theta \tag{1.5}$$

and the streching term reads

$$\omega \cdot \nabla v = \frac{v^r}{r} \omega.$$

Consequently, the equation (1.3) becomes

$$\partial_t \omega_\nu + v_\nu \cdot \nabla \omega_\nu - \nu \Delta \omega_\nu = \frac{v_\nu^r}{r} \omega_\nu.$$

The expression of the Laplacian operator in the cylindrical coordinates system is given by $\Delta = \partial_{rr} + \frac{1}{r}\partial_r + \partial_{zz}$. Therefore, the scalar component ω_ν^θ of the vorticity will satisfy the equation

$$\partial_t \omega_\nu^\theta + v_\nu \cdot \nabla \omega_\nu^\theta - \nu(\Delta \omega_\nu^\theta - \frac{\omega_\nu^\theta}{r^2}) = \frac{v_\nu^r}{r} \omega_\nu^\theta.$$

We can easily chek that the quantity $\beta := \frac{\omega_\nu^\theta}{r}$ solves the equation

$$\partial_t \beta + v \cdot \nabla \beta - \nu(\Delta + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r)\beta = 0.$$

This illustrates the fact that the advection term $v_\nu \cdot \nabla \omega_\nu^\theta$ has a smoothing effect and allows to kill the stretching term. Hence, we deduce that for all $p \in [1, \infty]$,

$$\|\beta(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|\beta^0\|_{L^p}.$$

These new conservation laws enable Ukhoviskii and Iudovich [26], to get the global well-posedness under the assumption $v^0 \in H^1$ and $\omega_0, \frac{\omega_0}{r} \in L^2 \cap L^\infty$. This result has been recently improved by many authors in various function spaces and where the inviscid case $\nu = 0$ is also treated, for more details see for example [1, 2, 7, 14, 17, 23].

Concerning the inviscid limit problem, that is the convergence of the viscous solutions $(v_\nu)_{\nu>0}$ to the solution of the incompressible Euler equation, we will restrict ourseleves to the discussion of the following results. In [19], Majda proved that for $v^0 \in H^s$ with $s > \frac{5}{2}$, the solutions $(v_\nu)_{\nu>0}$ converge in L^2 norm to the unique solution v of the Euler system and the rate convergence is of order νt. By using elementary interpolation argument we deduce the strong convergence in the Sobolev spaces $H^\eta, \forall \eta < s$. We note that this result is local in time in space dimension 3 and global in space dimension 2. Recently, Masmoudi proved in [20] the strong convergence in the same space of the initial data H^s and his proof is based on the use of a cut-off procedure. We mention that the inviscid limit problem in the context of axisymmetric flows was studied in [14].

Let us now move to the stratified Navier-Stokes system (1.1) which has been intensively studied in the last decades and many results were dedicated to the global well-posedness problem.

The case of stratified Euler equations with axisymmetric initial data was initiated by Hmidi and Rousset in [13]. This system is described by

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla p = \rho\, e_z \\ \partial_t \rho + v \cdot \nabla \rho - \Delta \rho = 0 \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0 \\ v_{|t=0} = v^0, \quad \rho_{|t=0} = \rho^0. \end{array}\right. \tag{1.6}$$

To achieve the global existence program one needs to get new a priori estimates especially for the function $\zeta := \frac{\omega_\theta}{r}$ which solves the equation,

$$\partial_t \zeta + v \cdot \nabla \zeta = -\frac{\partial_r \rho}{r}.$$

We observe that this equation induces a loss of two derivatives on the density which is exactly what we expect to win from the transport-diffusion equation. Thus the coupling in the model (1.6) is critical and the issue of the global existence requires more refined analysis. In [13], the authors gave a positive answer under the assumptions

$$v^0 \in H^s, \quad \rho^0 \in H^{s-2} \cap L^m,\, s > 5/2,\, m > 6 \quad \text{and} \quad r^2\rho^0 \in L^2.$$

Their basic idea consists in using the coupled function $\Gamma := \zeta + \frac{\partial_r}{r}\Delta^{-1}\rho$ which satisfies the transport equation

$$\partial_t \Gamma + v \cdot \nabla \Gamma = -\Big[\frac{\partial_r}{r}\Delta^{-1}, v \cdot \nabla\Big]\rho.$$

Since the operator $\frac{\partial_r}{r}\Delta^{-1}$ behaves like Riesz transform on the class of axisymmetric functions, the estimate of $\|\zeta(t)\|_{L^{3,1}}$ is equivalent to bound $\|\Gamma(t)\|_{L^{3,1}}$. Therefore the difficulty reduces to the estimate of the singular commutator which raises in the equation of Γ. For this purpose the authors used intensively the axisymmetric structure of the velocity combined with some tools of harmonic analysis and paradifferential calculus. The result of [13] was extended in [25] by the author of this paper to the framework of critical Besov spaces. More precisely, the global existence was proved for

$$v^0\in B^{\frac52}_{2,1},\quad \rho^0\in B^{\frac12}_{2,1}\cap L^m,\, m>6\quad\text{and}\quad r^2\rho^0\in L^2.$$

The aim of this paper is twofold. Firstly, we extend the result of [13] to the stratified Navier-Stokes system (1.1) with uniform bounds with respect to the viscosity but for the subcritical regularities, that is, $(v^0,\rho^0)\in H^s\times H^{s-2}$ with $s>\frac52$. Secondly, we analyze the inviscid limit problem and we show the strong convergence of the solutions (v_ν,ρ_ν) of the system (1.1) to the one of (1.6) in the same space of initial data. We point out that our approach for the last point is completely different from one's of Masmoudi work [20] for the incompressible Navier-Stokes.

First of all, we introduce the following space:

$$u\in\chi^s_m \iff u\in H^{s-2}\cap L^m \text{ and such that } r^2u\in L^2.$$

We state now our main result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $s>\frac52$, $v^0\in H^s$ be an axisymmetric divergence-free vector field without swirl and $\rho^0\in\chi^s_m$ with $m>6$ an axisymmetric function. Then there exists a unique global solution (v_ν,ρ_ν) for the system* (1.1) *such that,*

$$v_\nu\in\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^s)\ \text{ and } \rho_\nu\in\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+;\chi^s_m)\cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+;Lip),$$

with uniform bounds with respect to the viscosity.
Moreover, for any $T>0$ we have

$$\lim_{\nu\to0}\|(v_\nu-v,\rho_\nu-\rho)\|_{L^\infty_T(H^s\times H^{s-2})}=0,$$

where (v,ρ) is the solution of the system (1.6) *associated to the initial data (v^0,ρ^0).*

Before giving some details about the proof few remarks are in order.

Remarks. (1) From the proof the rate convergence in L^2 space is of order νt. More precisely,

$$\|(v_\nu-v,\rho_\nu-\rho)(t)\|_{L^2}\le\nu t\, f(t),$$

with f is an explicit function depending only on the size of the initial data and the variable time t.
(2) Our approach can not allow to treat the critical case $v_0\in B^{\frac52}_{2,1},\rho_0\in B^{\frac12}_{2,1}$. Even though, we can extend the result of the Proposition 3.2 to the Lorentz space $L^{3,1}$, the difficulty relies on the establishment of maximal smoothing effects for a transport-diffusion model in Lorentz space.

Now, we will discuss the main ideas of the proof of Theorem 1.2 and to simplify the notation, we will use (v,ρ) instead of (v_ν,ρ_ν). First, recall that the vorticity $\omega=\omega^\theta e_\theta$ satisfies

$$\partial_t\omega+v\cdot\nabla\omega-\nu\Delta\omega=\frac{v^r}{r}\omega+curl(\rho e_z).$$

This yields

$$\partial_t\omega_\theta+v\cdot\nabla\omega_\theta-\nu(\Delta\omega_\theta-\frac{\omega_\theta}{r^2})=\frac{v^r}{r}\omega_\theta-\partial_r\rho.$$

It follows that $\zeta:=\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}$ obeys to the equation,

$$\partial_t\zeta+v\cdot\nabla\zeta-\nu(\Delta+\frac2r\partial_r)\zeta=-\frac{\partial_r\rho}{r}. \tag{1.7}$$

At this stage, we can try to use the method of [13] but unfortunately it seems to be rigid and fails four the viscous case. Our alternative approach relies on the use of the maximal smoothing effects combined with a suitable commutator estimate. To be more precise, we use interpolation argument combined with the maximum principle leading for $\bar p>3$ to

$$\begin{aligned}\|\zeta(t)\|_{L^{3,1}} &\leq C\|\zeta(t)\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}}\\ &\leq C\|\zeta^0\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}}+C\int_0^t\Big\|\frac{\partial_r\rho}{r}(\tau)\Big\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}}d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

As we will see the restriction of the operator $\frac{\partial_r}{r}$ on the class of axisymmetric functions is dominated by the second derivative:

$$\|\frac{\partial_r}{r}\rho(t)\|_{L^p}\leq C\|\nabla^2\rho(t)\|_{L^p}.$$

To estimate this latter quantity we use the maximal smoothing effect of the heatsemi flow and the difficulty reduces to the analysis of the commutator $\sum_j\|[\Delta_j,v\cdot\nabla]\rho\|_{L^p}$ which is the hard technical part of this paper. We shall prove in Proposition 3.2 that for $p\in]1,+\infty[$

$$\sum_{j\geq-1}\Big\|[\Delta_j,v\cdot\nabla]\rho\Big\|_{L^p}\lesssim\|v\|_{L^2}\|\rho\|_{L^p}+\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}\cap L^p}\Big(\|x_h\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}}+\|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}\cap L^\infty}\Big),\tag{1.8}$$

with the notation $x_h:=(x_1,x_2)$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\|\zeta(t)\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}}\leq C(t)e^{C\|x_h\rho\|_{L^1_tB^0_{\infty,1}}}.\tag{1.9}$$

To estimate $\|x_h\rho\|_{L^1_tB^0_{\infty,1}}$, we use the following inequality proved in [13],

$$\|x_h\rho\|_{L^1_tB^0_{\infty,1}}\leq C_0(t)\Big(1+\int_0^t h(\tau)\log\big(2+\|\zeta\|_{L^\infty_\tau L^{3,1}}\big)d\tau\Big),$$

where $t\mapsto C_0(t)$ is a given continuous function and $t\mapsto h(t)$ belongs to $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Hence we conclude by using (1.8) and (1.9) combined with Gronwall inequality leading to a global bound for $\|\zeta(t)\|_{L^{3,1}}$, uniformly with respect to the viscosity.

Concerning the inviscid limit, we prove first the strong convergence in $L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2)$ by performing energy estimates. However the strong convergence in the space of the initial data $H^s\times H^{s-2}$ is more subtle. We use for this purpose some interpolation arguments combined with an additional frequency decay of the energy uniformly with respect to t and ν in the spirit of [10, 24].

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we fix some notation, give the definition of Besov and Lorentz spaces and state some smoothing effects for a transport-diffusion equation. In section 3, we study the estimate of the commutator $\sum_{j\geq-1}[\Delta_j,v\cdot\nabla]\rho$ in L^p spaces. In the last section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2 which will be done in several steps.

2. TOOLS AND FUNCTIONAL SPACES

In this preliminary section, we introduce some basic notations and recall the definitions of usual and heterogeneous Besov spaces. We give also some results about Lorentz spaces and discuss some well-known results about the Littlewood-Paley decomposition and a transport-diffusion equation used later.

2.1. **Notation.**

• For any positive A and B, the notation $A\lesssim B$ means that there exists a positive constant C independent of A and B and such that $A\leqslant CB$.

• For any pair of operators X and Y acting on some Banach space $\mathcal{A}$, the commutator $[X,Y]$ is defined by $XY-YX$.

• For $l\in\mathbb{N}$, we set

$$\Phi_l(t)=C_0\underbrace{\exp\Big(...\exp}_{l-times}(C_0t^{\frac{19}{6}})...\Big),$$

where C_0 depends on the norms of the initial data and its value may vary from line to line up to some absolute constants, but it does not depend on the viscosity ν. We will make an intensive use of the following trivial facts

$$\int_0^t \Phi_l(\tau)d\tau \leq \Phi_l(t) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \exp\Big(\int_0^t \Phi_l(\tau)d\tau\Big) \leq \Phi_{l+1}(t).$$

To define Besov spaces we need the following dyadic unity partition (see [6, 16]).

Proposition 2.1. *There exists two nonnegative radial functions* $\chi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *and* $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\{0\})$ *such that,*

$$\chi(\xi) + \sum_{j\geq 0}\varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

$$|p-j| \geq 2 \Rightarrow supp\ \varphi(2^{-p}\cdot) \cap supp\ \varphi(2^{-j}\cdot) = \varnothing,$$

$$j \geq 1 \Rightarrow supp\ \chi \cap supp\ \varphi(2^{-j}\cdot) = \varnothing.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we define the nonhomogeneous Littlewood-Paley operators by

$$\Delta_{-1}f = \chi(\mathrm{D})f, \;\; \forall j \geq 0, \;\; \Delta_j f = \varphi(2^{-j}\mathrm{D})f \;\; \text{and} \;\; S_j f = \sum_{-1\leq k\leq j-1} \Delta_k f.$$

It may be easily checked that

$$f = \sum_{j\geq -1} \Delta_j f, \;\; \forall f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Moreover, the Littlewood-Paley operators satisfies the property of almost orthogonality: for any $f, g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\Delta_p\Delta_j f = 0 \qquad \text{if} \qquad |p-j| \geqslant 2$$

$$\Delta_p(S_{j-1}f\Delta_j g) = 0 \qquad \text{if} \qquad |p-j| \geqslant 5.$$

The following Bernstein inequality will be of constant use in the paper see [6].

Lemma 2.2. *There exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that for every* $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *and for every function* v *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha S_j v\|_{L^{p_2}} &\leq C^k 2^{j\left(k+3\left(\frac{1}{p_1}-\frac{1}{p_2}\right)\right)} \|S_j v\|_{L^{p_1}}, \;\; \text{for} \;\; p_2 \geq p_1 \geq 1 \\ C^{-k}2^{jk}\|\Delta_j v\|_{L^{p_1}} &\leq \sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha \Delta_j v\|_{L^{p_1}} \leq C^k 2^{jk}\|\Delta_j v\|_{L^{p_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the paradifferential calculus introduce by J.-M. Bony [5] the product uv can be formally divided into three parts as follows :

$$fg = T_f g + T_g f + R(f,g), \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$T_f g \overset{def}{=} \sum_j S_{j-1} f \Delta_j g$$

and

$$R(f,g) = \sum_j \Delta_j f \widetilde{\Delta}_j g \;, \text{ with } \; \widetilde{\Delta}_j = \Delta_{j-1} + \Delta_j + \Delta_{j+1}.$$

2.2. **Usual and heterogeneous Besov spaces.** We recall now the following definition of general Besov spaces.

Definition 2.3. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq +\infty$. The inhomogeneous Besov space $B^s_{p,r}$ is the set of tempered distributions f such that

$$\|f\|_{B^s_{p,r}} := \Big(2^{js}\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}\Big)_{\ell^r} < +\infty.$$

The following embeddings are an easy consequence of Bernstein inequalities,

$$B^s_{p_1,r_1} \hookrightarrow B^{s+3(\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{p_1})}_{p_2,r_2}\ , \ p_1 \leq p_2 \ \text{ and } \ r_1 \leq r_2.$$

Let $T > 0$, $\rho \geq 1$, $(p,r) \in [1,\infty]^2$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $L^\rho_T B^s_{p,r}$ the space of distribution f such that

$$\|f\|_{L^\rho_T B^s_{p,r}} := \Big\|\Big(2^{js}\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}\Big)_{\ell^r}\Big\|_{L^\rho_T} < +\infty.$$

We say that f belongs to the Chemin-Lerner space $\widetilde{L}^\rho_T B^s_{p,r}$ if

$$\|f\|_{\widetilde{L}^\rho_T B^s_{p,r}} := \Big\|2^{js}\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^\rho_T L^p}\Big\|_{\ell^r} < +\infty.$$

The relation between these spaces are detailed in the following lemma, which is a direct consequence of the Minkowski inequality.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon > 0$ and $(p,r,\rho) \in [1,+\infty]^3$. Then we have the following embeddings*

$$L^\rho_T B^s_{p,r} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{L}^\rho_T B^s_{p,r} \hookrightarrow L^\rho_T B^{s-\varepsilon}_{p,r} \quad \text{if} \quad r \geqslant \rho.$$

$$L^\rho_T B^{s+\varepsilon}_{p,r} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{L}^\rho_T B^s_{p,r} \hookrightarrow L^\rho_T B^s_{p,r} \quad \text{if} \quad \rho \geq r.$$

We remark that the Sobolev space H^s coincides with the Besov spaces $B^s_{2,2}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and we have the following embedding

$$\forall\, 0 \leq s < \frac{d}{2}\ ,\ H^s \hookrightarrow L^p \text{ with } \quad p = \frac{2d}{d-2s}.$$

Now, we will introduce the heterogeneous Besov spaces which are an extension of the classical Besov spaces.

Definition 2.5. Let $\Psi : \{-1\} \cup \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^*_+$ be a given function.
(i)We say that Ψ belongs to the class $\mathcal{U}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(a) Ψ is a nondecreasing function.
(b) There exists $C > 0$ such that,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-1\}} \frac{\Psi(x+1)}{\Psi(x)} \leq C.$$

(ii) We define the class $\mathcal{U}_\infty$ by the set of function $\Psi \in \mathcal{U}$ satisfying $\lim\limits_{x \to +\infty} \Psi(x) = +\infty$.

(iii) Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $(p,r) \in [1,\infty]^2$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{U}$. We define the heterogeneous Besov spaces $B^{s,\Psi}_{p,r}$ as follows:

$$u \in B^{s,\Psi}_{p,r} \ \text{ iff } \ \|u\|_{B^{s,\Psi}_{p,r}} := \Big(\Psi(q)2^{qs}\|\Delta_q u\|_{L^p}\Big)_{\ell^r} < +\infty.$$

We observe that when the profile Ψ has an exponential growth: $\Psi(q) = 2^{\alpha q}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then the heterogeneous Besov space $B^{s,\Psi}_{p,r}$ reduces to the classical Besov space $B^{s+\alpha}_{p,r}$. When the profile Ψ is a nonnegative constant, it is clear that $B^{s,\Psi}_{p,r} = B^s_{p,r}$.
Now, we will give the following result which describes that any element of a given Besov space is always more regular than the prescribed regularity, (see [10] for a proof).

Lemma 2.6. *Let* $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in [1,+\infty]$, $r \in [1,+\infty[$ *and* $f \in B^s_{p,r}$. *Then there exists a function* $\Psi \in \mathcal{U}_\infty$ *such that* $f \in B^{s,\Psi}_{p,r}$.

The following Proposition will be useful later, see [12] for a proof.

Proposition 2.7. *We have the following estimates :*
a) Let $p \in [1,\infty]$, f, g *and* h *be three functions such that* $x\,h \in L^1$, $\nabla f \in L^p$ *and* $g \in L^\infty$. *Then*

$$\|h * (f\,g) - f(h * g)\|_{L^p} \le \|x\,h\|_{L^1} \|\nabla f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^\infty}.$$

b) Assume that $xh \in L^1$, $\nabla f \in L^\infty$ *and* $g \in L^p$, $\forall p \in [1,\infty]$. *Then we have also*

$$\|h * (f\,g) - f(h * g)\|_{L^p} \le \|x\,h\|_{L^1} \|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{L^p}.$$

2.3. **Lorentz spaces and interpolation.** We can define the Lorentz spaces by interpolation from Lebesgue spaces :

$$(L^{p_1}, L^{p_2})_{(\mu,r)} = L^{p,r},$$

where $1 \le p_1 < p < p_2 \le \infty$, μ satisfies $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\mu}{p_1} + \frac{\mu}{p_2}$ and $1 \le r \le \infty$.
We have the classical properties:

$$\|uv\|_{L^{p,r}} \le C\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{L^{p,r}} \tag{2.2}$$

$$L^{p,r} \hookrightarrow L^{p,r_1} \;\; , \forall 1 \le p \le \infty,\; 1 \le r \le r_1 \le \infty \qquad \text{and} \quad L^{p,p} = L^p.$$

We have also $L^{3,1} = (L^2, L^{\bar p})_{(\mu,1)}$ with $3 < \bar p$ and we deduce that,

$$\|u\|_{L^{3,1}} \le C\|u\|_{L^2 \cap L^{\bar p}} \;\text{ with }\; 3 < \bar p. \tag{2.3}$$

The following lemma will be used later see for instance [16, 21].

Lemma 2.8. *There exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that for every* $0 < \beta < 3$

$$\|f * g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C\|f\|_{L^{\frac{3}{\beta},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|g\|_{L^{\frac{3}{3-\beta},1}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

By using this result and the fact that $\frac{1}{|x|^2} \in L^{\frac{3}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\Delta^{-1} f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim \|\frac{1}{|x|^2}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f\|_{L^{3,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^{3,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

2.4. **Estimates for a transport-diffusion equation.** We will give now some useful estimates for any smooth solution of the linear transport-diffusion model given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla f - \kappa \Delta f = g \\ f_{|t=0} = f^0 \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

We will give two kinds of estimates : the first is the L^p estimates and the second is the smoothing effects. Let us start with the L^p estimates see [8].

Lemma 2.9. *Let* v *be a smooth divergence-free vector field of* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *and* f *be a smooth solution of* (2.5). *Then we have* $\forall p \in [1,\infty]$ *and for every* $\kappa \ge 0$,

$$\|f(t)\|_{L^p} \le \|f^0\|_{L^p} + \int_0^t \|g(\tau)\|_{L^p} d\tau.$$

We need to the following result, see [11] for a proof.

Proposition 2.10. *Let* v *be a smooth divergence-free vector field of* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *with vorticity* $\omega := curl v$. *Let* f *be a smooth solution of* (2.5) *with* $\kappa = 1$ *and* $g = 0$. *Then we have for every* $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $f^0 \in L^p$ *with* $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ *and* $t \geq 0$,

$$2^{2j}\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^1_t L^p} \lesssim \|f^0\|_{L^p}\Big(1 + (j+1)\|\omega\|_{L^1_t L^\infty} + \|\nabla \Delta_{-1} v\|_{L^1_t L^\infty}\Big).$$

We will need to the following smoothing effects which are proved in [13].

Proposition 2.11. *Let* v *be a smooth divergence-free vector field of* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *and* f *be a smooth solution of* (2.5) *with* $\kappa = 1$. *Then we have for every* $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \geq 2$ *and* $t \geq 0$,

$$\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^\infty_t L^p} + 2^{2j}\int_0^t \|\Delta_j f(\tau)\|_{L^p} d\tau \lesssim \|\Delta_j f^0\|_{L^p} + \int_0^t \|[\Delta_j, v\cdot\nabla]f(\tau)\|_{L^p} d\tau + \int_0^t \|\Delta_j g(\tau)\|_{L^p} d\tau.$$

3. COMMUTATOR ESTIMATES

In this section, we discuss the commutator between the bloc dyadic Δ_j and the convection operator $v \cdot \nabla$. First, we start with the following estimate which whose proof can be found in [10].

Proposition 3.1. *Let* v *be a smooth divergence-free vector field of* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *and* u *be a smooth function. Then for every* $s > 0$, $r \in [1, +\infty]$ *and* $\Psi \in \mathcal{U}$ *given in Definition 2.5, we have the following estimate*

$$\Big(\Psi(j) 2^{js}\|[\Delta_j, v\cdot\nabla]u\|_{L^2}\Big)_{\ell^r} \lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|u\|_{B^{s,\Psi}_{2,r}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{B^{s,\Psi}_{2,r}}.$$

The main result of this section is to prove the following,

Proposition 3.2. *Let* v *be an axisymmetric smooth and divergence-free vector field without swirl and* ρ *be an axisymmetric smooth scalar function. Then for every* $j \geq -1$ *and* $1 < p < \infty$, *we have the following estimate,*

$$\sum_{j\geq -1}\Big\|[\Delta_j, v\cdot\nabla]\rho\Big\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|v\|_{L^2}\|\rho\|_{L^p} + \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}\cap L^p}\Big(\|x_h\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}} + \|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}\cap L^\infty}\Big),$$

where ω_θ *is the angular component of* $\omega = \nabla \times v$.

Proof. We first write by using the decomposition of Bony (2.1) that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j\geq -1}\Big[\Delta_j, v\cdot\nabla\Big]\rho &= \sum_{j\geq -1}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\Big[\Delta_j, T_{v^i}\cdot\Big]\partial_i\rho + \sum_{j\geq -1}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\Big[\Delta_j, T_{\partial_i\cdot}\cdot v^i\Big]\rho\\
&+ \sum_{j\geq -1}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\Big[\Delta_j, R(v^i\cdot, \partial_i)\Big]\rho\\
&:= \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II} + \mathrm{III}.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of I :

We start with the estimate of the first component of I that is for $i = 1$. Since v is a divergence-free, we have $\Delta v = -\nabla \times \omega$. Then for axisymmetric flows, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
v^1(x) = \Delta^{-1}\partial_3\omega^2 &= \Delta^{-1}\partial_3(x_1\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\\
&= \Delta^{-1}(x_1\partial_3\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\\
&= x_1\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}) - 2\partial_{13}\Delta^{-2}(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

In the last line, we have used the following identity, see Lemma 2.10 in [13] for a proof.

Lemma 3.3. *For every $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ and $i, j \in \{1,2,3\}$, we have*

$$\Delta^{-1}(x_i \partial_j f) = x_i \Delta^{-1}\partial_j f - 2\mathcal{R}_{i,j}\Delta^{-1} f,$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{i,j} = \partial_{i,j}\Delta^{-1}$ is the Riesz transform.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_j \Big[\Delta_j, T_{v^1}\cdot\Big]\partial_1\rho &= \sum_{|q-j|\le 4}\Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}v^1\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho \\
&= \sum_{|q-j|\le 4}\Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\big(x_1\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho \\
&- 2\sum_{|q-j|\le 4}\Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\partial_{13}\Delta^{-2}(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

By the definition of Δ_q, there exists a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that,

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1\Delta_q\rho &= x_1 2^{3q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\varphi(2^q(x-y))\rho(y)dy \\
&= 2^{3q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\varphi(2^q(x-y))y_1\rho(y)dy + 2^{3q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\varphi(2^q(x-y))(x_1-y_1)\rho(y)dy \\
&= \Delta_q(x_1\rho) + 2^{-q}2^{3q}\varphi_1(2^q\cdot) * \rho,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_1(x) = x_1\varphi(x)$. Consequently the commutator reads,

$$[\Delta_q, x_1]\rho = -2^{2q}\varphi_1(2^q\cdot) * \rho. \tag{3.3}$$

Similarly for the cutt-off S_q, we obtain

$$x_1 S_q F = S_q(x_1 F) + 2^{2q}\chi_1(2^q\cdot) * F, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\chi_1(x) = x_1\chi(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.
To estimate the first term of (3.2), we use (3.4) to write

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\big(x_1\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho &= \Big[\Delta_j, x_1 S_{q-1}\big(\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho \\
&- \Big[\Delta_j, 2^{2q}\chi_1(2^q\cdot) * \Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho \\
&= \Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\big(\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\Big]x_1\Delta_q\partial_1\rho \\
&+ S_{q-1}\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big[\Delta_j, x_1\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho \\
&- \Big[\Delta_j, 2^{2q}\chi_1(2^q\cdot) * \Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\big(x_1\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho &= \Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\big(\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\Big]\partial_1(x_1\Delta_q\rho) \\
&- \Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\big(\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\Big]\Delta_q\rho \\
&+ S_{q-1}\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big[\Delta_j, x_1\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho \\
&- \Big[\Delta_j, 2^{2q}\chi_1(2^q\cdot) * \Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives by using (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\big(x_1\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho &= \Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\big(\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\Big]\partial_1\Delta_q(x_1\rho)\\ &+ \Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\big(\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\Big]\partial_1\big(2^{2q}\varphi_1(2^q\cdot)*\rho\big)\\ &- \Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\big(\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\Big]\Delta_q\rho\\ &- S_{q-1}\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big(2^{2j}\varphi_1(2^j\cdot)*\Delta_q\partial_1\rho\Big)\\ &- \Big[\Delta_j, 2^{2q}\chi_1(2^q\cdot)*\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\big(x_1\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho =:= \mathrm{I}_1+\mathrm{I}_2+\mathrm{I}_3+\mathrm{I}_4+\mathrm{I}_5,$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{I}_1 &= \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\Big(\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big)\Big]\partial_1\Delta_q(x_1\rho),\\ \mathrm{I}_2 &= \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\Big(\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big)\Big]\Big(2^{3q}(\partial_1\varphi_1)(2^q\cdot)*\rho\Big),\\ \mathrm{I}_3 &= -\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\Big(\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big)\Big]\Delta_q\rho,\\ \mathrm{I}_4 &= -\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}S_{q-1}\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big(2^{2j}\varphi_1(2^j\cdot)*\Delta_q\partial_1\rho\Big)\\ \mathrm{I}_5 &= -\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\Big[\Delta_j, 2^{2q}\chi_1(2^q\cdot)*\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho.\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of I_1. We use Proposition 2.7-a), the continuity of Riesz transform in the L^p space and Bernstein inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathrm{I}_1\|_{L^p} &\leq \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\|xh_j\|_{L^1}\|\nabla S_{q-1}\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^p}\|\partial_1\Delta_q(x_1\rho)\|_{L^\infty}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}2^{-j}\|xh\|_{L^1}\|\nabla\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^p}2^q\|\Delta_q(x_1\rho)\|_{L^\infty}\\ &\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}2^{q-j}\|\Delta_q(x_1\rho)\|_{L^\infty}\\ &\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\|x_1\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}},\end{aligned}$$

where $h_j(x)=2^{3j}h(2^jx)\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.
Estimate of I_2. Using Proposition 2.7-a), the continuity of Riesz transform on Lebesgue space and

the Young inequalities for convolution, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{I}_2\|_{L^p} &\leq \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4} 2^{-j}\|xh\|_{L^1}\|\nabla S_{q-1}\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^p}\|2^{3q}(\partial_1\varphi_1)(2^q\cdot)*\rho\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\nabla\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^p}\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4} 2^{-j}2^{3q}\|(\partial_1\varphi_1)(2^q\cdot)\|_{L^1}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4} 2^{q-j}2^{-q}\|\partial_1\varphi_1\|_{L^1}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of I_3. We use Proposition 2.7-a) and the continuity of Riesz transform in the Lebesgue space

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{I}_3\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4} 2^{-j}\|xh\|_{L^1}\|\nabla S_{q-1}\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4} 2^{-j}\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of I_4. Using now Hölder inequality, the continuity of the operator S_{q-1} in L^∞ spaces, (2.4), Young inequalities for convolution and Bernstein inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{I}_4\|_{L^p} &\leq \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4} \|S_{q-1}\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^\infty}\|2^{2j}\varphi_1(2^j\cdot)*\Delta_q\partial_1\rho\|_{L^p}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}}\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4} 2^{q-j}\|\varphi_1\|_{L^1}\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^p}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}}\|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of I_5. We use Proposition 2.7-a), the Young inequality for the convolution, the continuity of Riesz transform in L^p spaces and Bernstein inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{I}_5\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4} 2^{-j}\|xh\|_{L^1}\|2^{2q}\chi_1(2^q\cdot)*\nabla\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q\partial_1\rho\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4} 2^{-j}2^{2q}\|\chi_1(2^q\cdot)\|_{L^1}\|\nabla\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^p}2^q\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4} 2^{-j}2^{-q}\|\chi\|_{L^1}2^q\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\Big\|\Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\big(x_1\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho\Big\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}\cap L^p}\big(\|x_1\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}}+\|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}\cap L^\infty}\big). \tag{3.5}$$

To estimate the second term of (3.2), we use Proposition 2.7-b), Bernstein inequality, (2.4) and the continuity of the Riesz transform on the Lorentz spaces, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\Big\|\Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\partial_{13}\Delta^{-2}(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big]\Delta_q\partial_1\rho\Big\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\|xh_j\|_{L^1}\|\nabla S_{q-1}\partial_{13}\Delta^{-2}(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^\infty}\|\Delta_q\partial_1\rho\|_{L^p}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}2^{q-j}\|xh\|_{L^1}\|S_{q-1}\partial_{13}\Delta^{-1}(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^{3,1}}\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^p}\\ &\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}}\|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

Plugging (3.5) and (3.6) into (3.2) we get

$$\sum_j\Big\|\Big[\Delta_j, T_{v^1}\cdot\Big]\partial_1\rho\Big\|_{L^p}\lesssim\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}\cap L^p}\Big(\|x_1\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}}+\|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}\cap L^\infty}\Big).$$

The term $\sum_j[\Delta_j, T_{v^2}\cdot]\partial_2\rho$ can be estimated in the same way as above and we also obtain the estimate,

$$\sum_j\Big\|\Big[\Delta_j, T_{v^2}\cdot\Big]\partial_2\rho\Big\|_{L^p}\lesssim\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}\cap L^p}\Big(\|x_2\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}}+\|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}\cap L^\infty}\Big).$$

The estimate of the term $\sum_j[\Delta_j, T_{v^3}\cdot]\partial_3\rho$ will be done as follows: Since we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta v^3 &= -(\nabla\times\omega)_3\\ &= -(\partial_r\omega_\theta+\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\\ &= -\Big(r\partial_r(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})+2\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\Big)\\ &= -\Big(x_h\cdot\nabla_h(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})+2\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}-v^3(x) &= \Delta^{-1}\Big(x_h\cdot\nabla_h(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big)+2\Delta^{-1}(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\\ &= x_h\cdot\Delta^{-1}\nabla_h(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})-2\sum_{i=1}^{2}\partial_{ii}\Delta^{-2}(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})+2\Delta^{-1}(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\\ &= x_h\cdot\Delta^{-1}\nabla_h(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})+2\partial_{33}\Delta^{-2}(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

Then we have a decomposition of the commutator under the form,

$$\begin{aligned}-\sum_j\Big[\Delta_j, T_{v^3}\cdot\Big]\partial_3\rho &= \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\Big(x_k\Delta^{-1}\partial_k(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big)\Big]\Delta_q\partial_3\rho\\ &+ 2\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\Big[\Delta_j, S_{q-1}\partial_{33}\Delta^{-2}(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big]\Delta_q\partial_3\rho.\end{aligned}$$

This identity looks like (3.2) and then by reproducing the same analysis, we get

$$\sum_j\Big\|\Big[\Delta_j, T_{v^3}\cdot\Big]\partial_3\rho\Big\|_{L^p}\lesssim\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}\cap L^p}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{2}\|x_k\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}}+\|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}\cap L^\infty}\Big).$$

Estimate of II :

Let us now turn to the estimate of the second term II. We use (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4) with the same computations as for the term I we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_j \Big[\Delta_j, T_{\partial_1}\cdot v^1\Big]\rho &= \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\Big[\Delta_j, \Delta_q v^1\Big]S_{q-1}\partial_1\rho\\ &:= \mathrm{II}_1+\mathrm{II}_2+\mathrm{II}_3+\mathrm{II}_4+\mathrm{II}_5+\mathrm{II}_6,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{II}_1 &= \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\Big[\Delta_j, \Delta_q\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big]\partial_1 S_{q-1}(x_1\rho)\\ \mathrm{II}_2 &= \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\Big[\Delta_j, \Delta_q\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big]\Big(2^{3q}(\partial_1\chi_1)(2^q\cdot)*\rho\Big)\\ \mathrm{II}_3 &= -\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\big[\Delta_j, \Delta_q\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big]S_{q-1}\rho\\ \mathrm{II}_4 &= -\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\Delta_q\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big(2^{2j}\chi_1(2^j\cdot)*S_{q-1}\partial_1\rho\Big)\\ \mathrm{II}_5 &= -\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\Big[\Delta_j, 2^{2q}\varphi_1(2^q\cdot)*\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big]S_{q-1}\partial_1\rho\\ \mathrm{II}_6 &= -2\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\Big[\Delta_j, \Delta_q\partial_{13}\Delta^{-2}(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\Big]S_{q-1}\partial_1\rho.\end{aligned}$$

To estimate II_1, we do not need to use the structure of the commutator. We will use Hölder and Bernstein inequalities and the following estimate, we have for every $p\in[1,+\infty]$ that

$$\|\Delta_q\Delta^{-1}\partial_3 f\|_{L^p}\lesssim 2^{-q}\|\nabla\Delta_q\Delta^{-1}\partial_3 f\|_{L^p}\lesssim 2^{-q}\|f\|_{L^p}\,,\quad \forall q\geq 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Thus we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathrm{II}_1\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}\|\Delta_q\big(\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\|_{L^p}\|\partial_1 S_{q-1}(x_1\rho)\|_{L^\infty}\\ &\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\sum_{|q-j|\leq 4}2^{-q}\sum_{-1\leq k\leq q-2}2^k\|\Delta_k(x_1\rho)\|_{L^\infty}\\ &\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\|x_1\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}}.\end{aligned}$$

The terms II_2, II_3, II_4, II_5 and II_6 can be estimated in the similar way of I_2, I_3, I_4, I_5 and the second term of (3.2). Finally, we conclude that

$$\|\mathrm{II}\|_{L^p}\lesssim\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}\cap L^p}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^2\|x_i\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}}+\|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}\cap L^\infty}\Big).$$

Estimate of III :
Let us now move to the remainder term. We separate it into two terms : the high frequency term

and the low frequency term,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{III} &= \sum_j \Big[\Delta_j, R(v,\nabla)\Big]\rho \\
&= \sum_{q\geq j-4} \Big[\Delta_j, \Delta_q v\widetilde{\Delta}_q\nabla\Big]\rho \\
&= \sum_{j=-1}^{3} \Big[\Delta_j, \Delta_{-1} v\widetilde{\Delta}_{-1}\nabla\Big]\rho + \sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} \Big[\Delta_j, \Delta_q v\widetilde{\Delta}_q\nabla\Big]\rho \\
&= \mathrm{III}_1 + \mathrm{III}_2. \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

To treat the first term, we use Proposition 2.7-b) and Bernstein inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{III}_1\|_{L^p} &\leq \sum_{j=-1}^{3} \|xhj\|_{L^1}\|\nabla\Delta_{-1}v\|_{L^\infty}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_{-1}\nabla\rho\|_{L^p} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{j=-1}^{3} 2^{-j}\|xh\|_{L^1}\|\Delta_{-1}v\|_{L^2}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_{-1}\rho\|_{L^p} \\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{L^2}\|\rho\|_{L^p}. \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term III_2, we first write the term inside the sum as follows :

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big[\Delta_j, \Delta_q v^i\widetilde{\Delta}_q\partial_i\Big]\rho &= \Delta_j\big(\Delta_q v^i\widetilde{\Delta}_q\partial_i\rho\big) - \Delta_q v^i\widetilde{\Delta}_q\partial_i\Delta_j\rho \\
&= \Delta_j\partial_i\big(\Delta_q v^i\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\big) - \Delta_j\big(\Delta_q\partial_i v^i\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\big) \\
&- \partial_i\big(\Delta_q v^i\widetilde{\Delta}_q\Delta_j\rho\big) + \Delta_q\partial_i v^i\widetilde{\Delta}_q\Delta_j\rho.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over $i=\{1,2,3\}$ and using the incompressibility of the velocity, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}\Big[\Delta_j, \Delta_q v^i\widetilde{\Delta}_q\partial_i\Big]\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{3}\Big(\Delta_j\partial_i\big(\Delta_q v^i\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\big) - \partial_i\big(\Delta_q v^i\widetilde{\Delta}_q\Delta_j\rho\big)\Big).$$

Since

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_q\Delta_j\rho = 0 \text{ if } |q-j|\geq 4 \text{ and } \Delta_j(\Delta_q v^i\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho) = 0 \text{ if } j\geq q+4,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{III}_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{3}\Big(\sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}}\Delta_j\partial_i\big(\Delta_q v^i\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\big) - \sum_{\substack{|q-j|\leq 3,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}}\partial_i\big(\Delta_q v^i\widetilde{\Delta}_q\Delta_j\rho\big)\Big) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{3}\mathrm{III}_2^i.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now estimate III_2^1. Firstly, we follows the same decomposition as (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{III}_2^1 &= \sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}}\Delta_j\partial_1\big(\Delta_q v^1\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\big) - \sum_{\substack{|q-j|\leq 3,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}}\partial_1\big(\Delta_q v^1\widetilde{\Delta}_q\Delta_j\rho\big) \\
&= \mathrm{III}_{21}^1 + \mathrm{III}_{22}^1 + \mathrm{III}_{23}^1 + \mathrm{III}_{24}^1,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{III}^1_{21} &= \sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} \Delta_j\partial_1\Big(\Delta_q\big(x_1\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\Big)\\
\mathrm{III}^1_{22} &= -2\sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} \Delta_j\partial_1\Big(\Delta_q\partial_{13}\Delta^{-2}(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\Big)\\
\mathrm{III}^1_{23} &= -\sum_{\substack{|q-j|\leq 3,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} \partial_1\Big(\Delta_q\big(x_1\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\widetilde{\Delta}_q\Delta_j\rho\Big)\\
\mathrm{III}^1_{24} &= 2\sum_{\substack{|q-j|\leq 3,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} \partial_1\Big(\Delta_q\partial_{13}\Delta^{-2}(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\widetilde{\Delta}_q\Delta_j\rho\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of III^1_{21}. To estimate the first term III^1_{21}, we write by using (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{III}^1_{21} &= \sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} \partial_1\Delta_j\Big(\Delta_q\big(\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)x_1\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\Big) - \sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} \partial_1\Delta_j\Big(\big(2^{2q}\varphi_1(2^q\cdot)*\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\Big)\\
&:= \mathrm{III}^1_{211}+\mathrm{III}^1_{212}+\mathrm{III}^1_{213},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{III}^1_{211} &= \sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} \partial_1\Delta_j\Big(\Delta_q\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\widetilde{\Delta}_q(x_1\rho)\Big)\\
\mathrm{III}^1_{212} &= \sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} \partial_1\Delta_j\Big(\Delta_q\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big(2^{2q}\varphi_1(2^q\cdot)*\rho\big)\Big)\\
\mathrm{III}^1_{213} &= -\sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} \partial_1\Delta_j\Big(\big(2^{2q}\varphi_1(2^q\cdot)*\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the term III^1_{211}, we use Bernstein and Hölder inequalities and (3.8), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{III}^1_{211}\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} 2^j\|\Delta_q\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^p}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_q(x_1\rho)\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} 2^{j-q}\|\nabla\Delta_q\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^p}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_q(x_1\rho)\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} 2^{j-q}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_q(x_1\rho)\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\|x_1\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now to estimate the terms III^1_{212}, we use Young inequality and (3.8), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{III}^1_{212}\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} 2^j\|\Delta_q\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^p}\|2^{2q}\varphi_1(2^q\cdot)*\rho\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} 2^{j-q}2^{-q}\|\varphi_1\|_{L^1}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

The term III^1_{213} can be estimated by using Bernstein and Hölder inequalities, combined with the convolution inequality and (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{III}^1_{213}\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} 2^j\|2^{2q}\varphi_1(2^q\cdot)*\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^\infty}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\|_{L^p}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} 2^{j-q}\|\varphi_1\|_{L^1}\|\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^\infty}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\|_{L^p}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}}\sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} 2^{j-q}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\|_{L^p}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}}\|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\|\mathrm{III}^1_{21}\|_{L^p}\lesssim\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}\cap L^p}\Big(\|x_1\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}}+\|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}\cap L^\infty}\Big). \tag{3.11}$$

Estimate of III^1_{22}. Thanks to the Bernstein inequality, we have for every $p\in[1,+\infty]$ that,

$$\|\Delta_q\partial_{13}\Delta^{-2}f\|_{L^p}\lesssim 2^{-2q}\|\nabla^2\Delta_q\partial_{13}\Delta^{-2}f\|_{L^p}\lesssim 2^{-2q}\|f\|_{L^p}\,,\quad\forall q\geq 0. \tag{3.12}$$

This yields to,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{III}^1_{22}\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} 2^j\|\Delta_q\partial_{13}\Delta^{-2}(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^p}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\sum_{\substack{q\geq j-4,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} 2^{j-q}2^{-q}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}. \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of III^1_{23}. This term can be written in the similar way as III^1_{21} and we get finally:

$$\mathrm{III}^1_{23}=\mathrm{III}^1_{231}+\mathrm{III}^1_{232}+\mathrm{III}^1_{233}+\mathrm{III}^1_{234},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{III}_{231}^1 &= -\sum_{\substack{|q-j|\le 3,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} \partial_1\Big(\Delta_q\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\widetilde{\Delta}_q\Delta_j\big(x_1\rho\big)\Big)\\
\mathrm{III}_{232}^1 &= -\sum_{\substack{|q-j|\le 3,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} \partial_1\Big(\Delta_q\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\widetilde{\Delta}_q\big(2^{2j}\varphi_1(2^j\cdot)*\rho\big)\Big)\\
\mathrm{III}_{233}^1 &= -\sum_{\substack{|q-j|\le 3,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} \partial_1\Big(\Delta_q\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big(2^{2q}\varphi_1(2^q\cdot)*\Delta_j\rho\big)\Big)\\
\mathrm{III}_{234}^1 &= \sum_{\substack{|q-j|\le 3,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} \partial_1\Big(\big(2^{2q}\varphi_1(2^q\cdot)*\Delta^{-1}\partial_3(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\big)\widetilde{\Delta}_q\Delta_j\rho\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

We point out that by reproducing the same analysis as for III_{21}^1, we get

$$\|\mathrm{III}_{23}^1\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}\cap L^p}\Big(\|x_1\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}} + \|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}\cap L^\infty}\Big). \tag{3.14}$$

Estimate of III_{24}^1. Using (3.12), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{III}_{24}^1\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{|q-j|\le 3,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} 2^j\|\Delta_q\partial_{13}\Delta^{-2}(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r})\|_{L^p}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_q\Delta_j\rho\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\sum_{\substack{|q-j|\le 3,\\ q\in\mathbb{N}}} 2^{j-q}2^{-q}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_q\rho\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^p}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.15}$$

Putting together (3.11), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15), we find finally

$$\|\mathrm{III}_2^1\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}\cap L^p}\Big(\|x_1\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}} + \|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}\cap L^\infty,}\Big). \tag{3.16}$$

The term III_2^2 can be estimated as for the estimate of III_2^1. For the term III_2^3, we use (3.7) and then by reproducing the same analysis, we get with the notation $x_h := (x_1, x_2)$ the estimate

$$\|\mathrm{III}_2^2\|_{L^p} + \|\mathrm{III}_2^3\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}\cap L^p}\Big(\|x_h\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}} + \|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}\cap L^\infty,}\Big).$$

Combining the above estimate with (3.16), yields

$$\|\mathrm{III}_2\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}\cap L^p}\Big(\|x_h\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}} + \|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}\cap L^\infty,}\Big). \tag{3.17}$$

Now, from (3.10) and (3.17) we get

$$\|\mathrm{III}\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|v\|_{L^2}\|\rho\|_{L^p} + \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}\cap L^p}\Big(\|x_h\rho\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}} + \|\rho\|_{B^0_{p,1}\cap L^\infty}\Big).$$

This ends the proof of the proposition. □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

To prove Theorem 1.2, we will restrict ourself to prove some a priori estimates and the inviscid limit. The proof of the uniqueness and the existence of the solutions are standard.

4.1. **A priori estimates.** We establish in this subsection some global a priori estimates which we need in the proof of our main result. First we give some energy estimates and we shall prove an estimate of $\|\frac{v^r}{r}\|_{L^\infty}$ which is based on the estimation of our commutator in the previous section. Finally we will establish a control of the norm Lipschitz of the velocity. Let us start with the energy estimates.

4.1.1. *Energy estimates.* We have the following estimates

Proposition 4.1. *Let (v, ρ) be a smooth solution of* (1.1)*, then we have*
(a) For $(v^0, \rho^0) \in L^2 \times L^2$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\nu \geq 0$ we have

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\nu \int_0^t \|\nabla v(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq C_0(1+t^2),$$

where C_0 depends only on $\|v^0\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\rho^0\|_{L^2}$ but not on the viscosity ν.
(b) For $\rho^0 \in L^2$ we have,

$$\|\rho\|_{L_t^\infty L^2}^2 + 2\|\nabla\rho\|_{L_t^2 L^2}^2 = \|\rho^0\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\rho(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ct^{-\frac{3}{4}}\|\rho^0\|_{L^2}.$$

The constant C does not depend on the viscosity.

Remark that the axisymmetric assumption on the velocity and the density is not needed in this Proposition. The proof of the first estimate (a) can be found in [3]. For the proof of (b) see [13]. We aim now to give some estimates for the horizontal moment $x_h\rho$ of the density that will be needed later, see Proposition 4.2 (1)-(3) in [13] for a proof.

Proposition 4.2. *Let v be a smooth vector field with zero divergence and ρ be a smooth solution of the second equation of* (1.1)*. Then we have*
(1) If $\rho^0 \in L^2$ and $x_h\rho^0 \in L^2$, then there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\|x_h\rho\|_{L_t^\infty L^2} + \|x_h\rho\|_{L_t^2 \dot{H}^1} \leq C_0(1+t^{\frac{5}{4}}).$$

(2) If $\rho^0 \in L^2$ and $|x_h|^2\rho^0 \in L^2$, then there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\Big\||x_h|^2\rho\Big\|_{L_t^\infty L^2} + \Big\||x_h|^2\rho\Big\|_{L_t^2 \dot{H}^1} \leq C_0(1+t^{\frac{5}{2}}).$$

Where C_0 depend only on the norm of the initial data and not on the viscosity.

4.1.2. *Strong estimates.* We will prove in the first step a bound for $\|\frac{\omega}{r}(t)\|_{L^{3,1}}$ which is the important quantity to get the global existence of smooth solutions. It allows us to bound for all times the vorticity in L^∞ space and then to bound the Lipschitz norm of the velocity $\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty}$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let v^0 be a smooth axisymmetric vector field with zero divergence such that $v^0 \in L^2$, its vorticity such that $\frac{\omega^0}{r} \in L^2 \cap L^{\bar{p}}$ with $3 < \bar{p} < 6$ and let $\rho^0 \in B_{2,1}^0 \cap B_{\bar{p},1}^0 \cap L^m$ with $m > 6$ be an axisymmetric function, such that $|x_h|^2\rho^0 \in L^2$. Then we have for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$*

$$\|\frac{\omega}{r}(t)\|_{L^{3,1}} + \|\frac{v^r}{r}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \Phi_2(t).$$

We recall that $\Phi_2(t) = C_0 e^{\exp\{C_0 t^{\frac{19}{6}}\}}$ and the constant C_0 depends only on the norm of the initial data but not on the viscosity ν.

Remark 4.4. We note that for $\rho^0 \in H^{s-2}$ with $s > \frac{5}{2}$, there exists $\bar{p} > 3$ such that $\rho^0 \in B_{2,1}^0 \cap B_{\bar{p},1}^0$.

Proof. We start with using the following result, proved in [2]

$$|v^r/r| \lesssim \frac{1}{|\cdot|^2} * |\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}|.$$

Using Lemma 2.8 and (2.3), we have for $\bar{p} > 3$

$$\begin{aligned} \|v^r/r\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|\frac{1}{|\cdot|^2}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2},\infty}} \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}} \\ &\lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}} \lesssim \|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^2 \cap L^{\bar{p}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

It remains then to estimate $\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\|_{L^2 \cap L^{\bar{p}}}$. For this purpose we recall that the function $\zeta := \frac{\omega_\theta}{r}$ satisfies the equation

$$\partial_t \zeta + v \cdot \nabla \zeta - \nu(\Delta + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r)\zeta = -\frac{\partial_r \rho}{r}.$$

By performing L^p estimates, we get

$$\|\zeta(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{\bar{p}}} \leq \|\zeta^0\|_{L^2 \cap L^{\bar{p}}} + \int_0^t \|\frac{\partial_r \rho}{r}(\tau)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{\bar{p}}} d\tau. \tag{4.2}$$

At this stage we need the following lemma which we refer to [13].

Lemma 4.5. *For every axisymmetric smooth scalar function u, we have*

$$\frac{\partial_r}{r} u = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} b_{ij}(x) \partial_{ij} u,$$

where the functions b_{ij} are bounded.

Consequently for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we obtain

$$\|\frac{\partial_r}{r} u\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^p}.$$

By using this Lemma, Bernstein inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\frac{\partial_r \rho}{r}\|_{L^1_t(L^2 \cap L^{\bar{p}})} &\lesssim \|\nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^1_t(L^2 \cap L^{\bar{p}})} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \geq -1} \|\Delta_j \nabla^2 \rho\|_{L^1_t(L^2 \cap L^{\bar{p}})} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \rho\|_{L^1_t(L^2 \cap L^{\bar{p}})} \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \|\Delta_{-1}\rho(\tau)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{\bar{p}}} d\tau + \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{2j} \int_0^t \|\Delta_j \rho(\tau)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{\bar{p}}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by using Lemme 2.9, Proposition 2.11 and Proposition 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\frac{\partial_r \rho}{r}\|_{L^1_t(L^2\cap L^{\bar p})} &\lesssim t\|\rho^0\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}} + \sum_{j\geq 0}\|\Delta_j \rho^0\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}} + \sum_{j\geq 0}\|[\Delta_j, v\cdot\nabla]\rho\|_{L^1_t(L^2\cap L^{\bar p})} \\
&\lesssim \|\rho^0\|_{B^0_{2,1}\cap B^0_{\bar p,1}}(1+t) + \int_0^t \|v(\tau)\|_{L^2}\|\rho(\tau)\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}} d\tau \\
&+ \int_0^t \|\zeta(\tau)\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}}\big(\|x_h\rho(\tau)\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}} + \|\rho(\tau)\|_{B^0_{2,1}\cap B^0_{\bar p,1}\cap L^\infty}\big) d\tau \\
&\lesssim \|\rho^0\|_{B^0_{2,1}\cap B^0_{\bar p,1}}(1+t) + \|\rho^0\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}}\int_0^t \|v(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&+ \int_0^t \|\zeta(\tau)\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}}\big(\|x_h\rho(\tau)\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}} + \|\rho(\tau)\|_{B^0_{2,1}\cap B^0_{\bar p,1}\cap L^\infty}\big) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging this last estimate in (4.2) and using Proposition 4.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\zeta(t)\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}} &\lesssim \|\zeta^0\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}} + \|\rho^0\|_{B^0_{2,1}\cap B^0_{\bar p,1}}(1+t) + \|\rho^0\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}} C_0 t(1+t) \\
&+ \int_0^t \|\zeta(\tau)\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}}\big(\|x_h\rho(\tau)\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}} + \|\rho(\tau)\|_{B^0_{2,1}\cap B^0_{\bar p,1}\cap L^\infty}\big) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Gronwall's inequality gives

$$\|\zeta(t)\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}} \leq C_0(1+t^2)\exp\Big\{C\|x_h\rho\|_{L^1_t B^0_{\infty,1}} + C\|\rho\|_{L^1_t\big(B^0_{2,1}\cap B^0_{\bar p,1}\cap L^\infty\big)}\Big\}. \tag{4.3}$$

To estimate the term $\|\rho\|_{L^1_t B^0_{2,1}}$, we use the embedding $B^{1/2}_{2,1}\hookrightarrow B^0_{2,1}$, interpolation estimate, Hölder inequality and Proposition 4.1,

$$\|\rho\|_{L^1_t B^0_{2,1}} \lesssim \|\rho\|_{L^1_t B^{\frac12}_{2,1}} \lesssim \|\rho\|^{\frac12}_{L^\infty_t L^2} t^{\frac34}\|\nabla\rho\|^{\frac12}_{L^2_t L^2} \lesssim t^{\frac34}\|\rho^0\|_{L^2}.$$

The term $\|\rho\|_{L^1_t L^\infty}$, can be estimate by using the second estimate of Proposition 4.1-(b) and integrating in time we get

$$\|\rho\|_{L^1_t L^\infty} \lesssim t^{\frac14}\|\rho^0\|_{L^2}$$

and for $\|\rho\|_{L^1_t B^0_{\bar p,1}}$, we have by definition of Besov spaces and for $2<\bar p<6$ and Proposition 4.1-(b) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\rho\|_{L^1_t B^0_{\bar p,1}} = \sum_{q\geq -1}\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^1_t L^{\bar p}} &\lesssim \sum_{q\geq -1} 2^{3q(\frac12-\frac1{\bar p})}\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^1_t L^2} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{q\geq -1} 2^{q(\frac12-\frac3{\bar p})}2^q\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^1_t L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\rho\|_{L^1_t H^1} \\
&\lesssim t^{\frac12}\|\rho\|_{L^2_t H^1} \\
&\lesssim t^{\frac12}\|\rho^0\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently we obtain in view of (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\zeta(t)\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar p}} &\leq C_0(1+t^2)e^{C(t^{\frac34}+t^{\frac12}+t^{\frac14})\|\rho^0\|_{L^2}} e^{C\|x_h\rho\|_{L^1_t B^0_{\infty,1}}} \\
&\leq C_0 e^{C_0 t^2} e^{C\|x_h\rho\|_{L^1_t B^0_{\infty,1}}}. \qquad (4.4)
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the term $\|x_h\rho\|_{L^1_t B^0_{\infty,1}}$, we use the following inequality proved for $\rho^0 \in L^2 \cap L^m$ with $m > 6$ and $|x_h|^2\rho^0 \in L^2$ (see [13] for a proof),

$$\|x_h\rho\|_{L^1_t B^0_{\infty,1}} \leq C_0(1 + t^{\frac{19}{6}}) + C_0 \int_0^t (\tau^{\frac{13}{6}} + \tau^{-\frac{3}{4}}) \log\big(2 + \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty_\tau L^{3,1}}\big) d\tau.$$

Hence, for $\bar{p} > 3$ we get

$$\|x_h\rho\|_{L^1_t B^0_{\infty,1}} \leq C_0(1 + t^{\frac{19}{6}}) + C_0 \int_0^t (\tau^{\frac{13}{6}} + \tau^{-\frac{3}{4}}) \log\big(2 + \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty_\tau (L^2\cap L^{\bar{p}})}\big) d\tau. \tag{4.5}$$

Putting together (4.4) and (4.5), we find that

$$\log\big(2 + \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty_\tau (L^2\cap L^{\bar{p}})}\big) \leq C_0(1 + t^{\frac{19}{6}}) + C_0 \int_0^t (\tau^{\frac{13}{6}} + \tau^{-\frac{3}{4}}) \log\big(2 + \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty_\tau (L^2\cap L^{\bar{p}})}\big) d\tau.$$

Gronwall's inequality gives

$$\log\big(2 + \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty_\tau (L^2\cap L^{\bar{p}})}\big) \leq C_0(1 + t^{\frac{19}{6}}) e^{C_0(t^{\frac{19}{6}} + t^{\frac{1}{4}})} \leq \Phi_1(t).$$

Therefore we get by using again (4.5) that

$$\|x_h\rho\|_{L^1_t B^0_{\infty,1}} \leq \Phi_1(t).$$

This yields in (4.4) that

$$\|\zeta(t)\|_{L^2\cap L^{\bar{p}}} \leq \Phi_2(t).$$

Hence, it follows from (2.3) that,

$$\|\zeta(t)\|_{L^{3,1}} \leq \Phi_2(t).$$

Then we have with $\omega = \omega_\theta e_\theta$ and by using (2.2),

$$\|\frac{\omega}{r}(t)\|_{L^{3,1}} \leq \|\zeta(t)\|_{L^{3,1}} \leq \Phi_2(t).$$

Thanks to (4.1), we obtain

$$\|\frac{v^r}{r}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \Phi_2(t).$$

This ends the proof of the proposition. □

Now, we will use the above estimates to obtain an bound for $\|\omega(t)\|_{L^\infty}$.

Proposition 4.6. *Under the same hypotheses of Proposition 4.3 and if in addition $\omega^0 \in L^\infty$. Then we have for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,*

$$\|\omega(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla\rho\|_{L^1_t L^\infty} \leq \Phi_4(t).$$

We recall that $\Phi_4(t)$ does not depend on the viscosity.

Proof. Recall that the vorticity ω satisfies the equation

$$\partial_t\omega + v\cdot\nabla\omega - \nu\Delta\omega = \frac{v^r}{r}\omega + curl(\rho e_z).$$

Applying the maximum principle and using Proposition 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|\omega^0\|_{L^\infty} + \int_0^t \|\frac{v^r}{r}(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\|\omega(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau + \int_0^t \|curl(\rho e_z)(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\ &\leq \|\omega^0\|_{L^\infty} + \int_0^t \Phi_2(\tau)\|\omega(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau + \int_0^t \|\nabla\rho(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

This implies by Gronwall inequality,

$$\|\omega(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le \Big(\|\omega^0\|_{L^\infty} + \int_0^t \|\nabla\rho(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau\Big)\Phi_3(t). \tag{4.6}$$

It remains to estimate $\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^1_tL^\infty}$. For this purpose we use Bernstein inequality for $\bar p > 3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^1_tL^\infty} &\le \|\nabla\Delta_{-1}\rho\|_{L^1_tL^\infty} + \sum_{j\ge0}\|\nabla\Delta_j\rho\|_{L^1_tL^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \|\rho\|_{L^1_tL^2} + \sum_{j\ge0}2^{j(\frac{3}{\bar p}+1)}\|\Delta_j\rho\|_{L^1_tL^{\bar p}}
\end{aligned}$$

Using now Proposition 2.10, Proposition 4.1 and Bernstein inequality for $\bar p > 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^1_tL^\infty} &\lesssim \|\rho^0\|_{L^2}t + \sum_{j\ge0}2^{j(\frac{3}{\bar p}-1)}\|\rho^0\|_{L^{\bar p}}\Big(1+(j+1)\int_0^t\|\omega(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}d\tau\Big)\\
&+ \sum_{j\ge0}2^{j(\frac{3}{\bar p}-1)}\|\rho^0\|_{L^{\bar p}}\int_0^t\|\nabla\Delta_{-1}v(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}d\tau\\
&\lesssim \|\rho^0\|_{L^2}t + \|\rho^0\|_{L^{\bar p}}\Big(1+\int_0^t\|\omega(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}d\tau + \int_0^t\|v(\tau)\|_{L^2}d\tau\Big)\\
&\lesssim \|\rho^0\|_{L^2}t + \|\rho^0\|_{L^{\bar p}}\Big(1+t\|v\|_{L^\infty_tL^2} + \int_0^t\|\omega(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}d\tau\Big)\\
&\lesssim \|\rho^0\|_{B^0_{2,1}\cap B^0_{\bar p,1}}\Big(1+t+C_0t(1+t) + \int_0^t\|\omega(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}d\tau\Big)\\
&\le C_0\Big(1+t^2+\int_0^t\|\omega(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}d\tau\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Putting (4.7) into (4.6) and using Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\omega\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \Big(\|\omega^0\|_{L^\infty} + C_0\big(1+t^2+\int_0^t\|\omega(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}d\tau\big)\Big)\Phi_3(t)\\
&\le \Phi_4(t).
\end{aligned}$$

This gives in (4.7),

$$\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^1_tL^\infty} \le \Phi_4(t),$$

which is the desired result. □

Now, we will propagate globally in time the subcritical Sobolev regularities which is based on the estimate of $\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty}$. More precisely, we prove the following Proposition.

Proposition 4.7. *Let (v,ρ) be a smooth solution of the stratified system (1.1) with $\nu\ge0$, and such that $(v^0,\rho^0)\in H^s\times H^{s-2}$ with $\frac52 < s$. Then there exists $\Psi\in\mathcal{U}$ such that $(v^0,\rho^0)\in H^{s,\Psi}\times H^{s-2,\Psi}$ and for every $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$,*

$$\|v\|_{\widetilde L^\infty_tH^{s,\Psi}} + \|\rho\|_{\widetilde L^\infty_tH^{s-2,\Psi}} + \|\rho\|_{\widetilde L^1_tH^{s,\Psi}} \lesssim \Big(\|v^0\|_{H^{s,\Psi}} + \|\rho^0\|_{H^{s-2,\Psi}}(1+t)\Big)e^{C(\|\nabla v\|_{L^1_tL^\infty}+\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^1_tL^\infty})}.$$

If in addition, $\rho^0\in L^m$ with $m>6$ and $|x_h|^2\rho^0\in L^2$, then we get for every $t\ge0$,

$$\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty}\le\Phi_5(t),\qquad \|v\|_{\widetilde L^\infty_tH^{s,\Psi}} + \|\rho\|_{\widetilde L^\infty_tH^{s-2,\Psi}} + \|\rho\|_{\widetilde L^1_tH^{s,\Psi}} \le \Phi_6(t).$$

The constants C, $\Phi_5(t)$ and $\Phi_6(t)$ do not depend on the viscosity.

Remark 4.8. From the Definition 2.5, we observe that when the profile Ψ is a nonnegative constant, then $H^{s,\Psi}=H^s$. In this case, we get the global persistence of the Sobolev regularities

$$\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty}\le\Phi_5(t),\qquad \|v\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t H^s}+\|\rho\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t H^{s-2}}+\|\rho\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_t H^s}\le\Phi_6(t).$$

Recall that $\Phi_5(t)$ and $\Phi_6(t)$ do not depend on the viscosity.

Proof. We localize in frequency the equation of the velocity, then we have for every $j\ge -1$,

$$\partial_t\Delta_j v+v\cdot\nabla\Delta_j v-\nu\Delta\Delta_j v+\nabla\Delta_j p=\Delta_j\rho e_z-[\Delta_j,v\cdot\nabla]v.$$

Taking the L^2- scalar product of the above equation with $\Delta_j v$ and using Hölder inequality,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\nu\|\nabla\Delta_j v\|_{L^2}^2\le\|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2}\Big(\|\Delta_j\rho(t)\|_{L^2}+\|[\Delta_j,v\cdot\nabla]v(t)\|_{L^2}\Big).$$

Then,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2}\le\|\Delta_j\rho(t)\|_{L^2}+\|[\Delta_j,v\cdot\nabla]v(t)\|_{L^2}.$$

Integrating in time we obtain,

$$\|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2}\le\|\Delta_j v^0\|_{L^2}+\|\Delta_j\rho\|_{L^1_tL^2}+\|[\Delta_j,v\cdot\nabla]v\|_{L^1_tL^2}.$$

Multiplying this inequality by $\Psi(j)2^{sj}$, taking the ℓ^2-norm, we get

$$\|v\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t H^{s,\Psi}}\le\|v^0\|_{H^{s,\Psi}}+\|\rho\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_t H^{s,\Psi}}+\Big(\Psi(j)2^{sj}\|[\Delta_j,v\cdot\nabla]v\|_{L^1_tL^2}\Big)_{\ell^2}.$$

Combining Lemma 2.4 with Proposition 3.1 to get,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\Psi(j)2^{sj}\|[\Delta_j,v\cdot\nabla]v\|_{L^1_tL^2}\Big)_{\ell^2}&\lesssim\int_0^t\Big(\Psi(j)2^{sj}\|[\Delta_j,v\cdot\nabla]v(\tau)\|_{L^2}\Big)_{\ell^2}d\tau\\&\lesssim\int_0^t\|\nabla v(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\|v(\tau)\|_{H^{s,\Psi}}d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get,

$$\|v\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t H^{s,\Psi}}\le\|v^0\|_{H^{s,\Psi}}+\|\rho\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_t H^{s,\Psi}}+C\int_0^t\|\nabla v(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\|v(\tau)\|_{H^{s,\Psi}}d\tau.\tag{4.8}$$

Now to estimate $\|\rho\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_t H^{s,\Psi}}$, we use Proposition 2.11 for $j\ge 0$,

$$\|\Delta_j\rho\|_{L^\infty_tL^2}+2^{2j}\|\Delta_j\rho\|_{L^1_tL^2}\lesssim\|\Delta_j\rho^0\|_{L^2}+\|[\Delta_j,v\cdot\nabla]\rho\|_{L^1_tL^2}.$$

Multiplying this last inequality by $\Psi(j)2^{j(s-2)}$, taking the ℓ^2 norm, using Hölder inequality and Proposition 4.1-(b), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t H^{s-2,\Psi}}+\|\rho\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_t H^{s,\Psi}}&\le\|\Delta_{-1}\rho\|_{L^\infty_tL^2}+\|\Delta_{-1}\rho\|_{L^1_tL^2}+\|\rho^0\|_{H^{s-2\Psi}}\\&+\Big\|\Psi(j)2^{j(s-2)}\|[\Delta_j,v\cdot\nabla]\rho\|_{L^1_tL^2}\Big\|_{\ell^2}\\&\le Ct\|\rho^0\|_{L^2}+C\|\rho^0\|_{H^{s-2,\Psi}}+\Big\|\Psi(j)2^{j(s-2)}\|[\Delta_j,v\cdot\nabla]\rho\|_{L^1_tL^2}\Big\|_{\ell^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $0<s-2$, then using Proposition 3.1, we obtain that

$$\Big\|\Big(\Psi(j)2^{j(s-2)}\|[\Delta_j,v\cdot\nabla]\rho\|_{L^1_tL^2}\Big)_j\Big\|_{\ell^2}\le C\int_0^t\big(\|\nabla v(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\|\rho(\tau)\|_{H^{s-2,\Psi}}+\|\nabla\rho(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\|v(\tau)\|_{H^{s-2,\Psi}}\big)d\tau.$$

Therefore by using the embeddings $H^{s,\Psi}\hookrightarrow H^{s-2,\Psi}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t H^{s-2,\Psi}}+\|\rho\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_t H^{s,\Psi}} &\lesssim \|\rho^0\|_{L^2}t+\|\rho^0\|_{H^{s-2,\Psi}}+\int_0^t\|\nabla\rho(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\|v(\tau)\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_\tau H^{s,\Psi}}d\tau\\ &+\int_0^t\|\nabla v(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\|\rho(\tau)\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_\tau H^{s-2,\Psi}}d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

Set $f(t):=\|v\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t H^{s,\Psi}}+\|\rho\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t H^{s-2,\Psi}}+\|\rho\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_t H^{s,\Psi}}$ and combining (4.8) and (4.9) with Gronwall's inequality, we obtain,

$$f(t)\lesssim\Big(\|v^0\|_{H^{s,\Psi}}+\|\rho^0\|_{L^2}t+\|\rho^0\|_{H^{s-2,\Psi}}\Big)e^{C\big(\|\nabla v\|_{L^1_tL^\infty}+\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^1_tL^\infty}\big)}.\tag{4.10}$$

To estimate the term $\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^1_tL^\infty}$, we use Proposition 4.6 and to estimate the Lipschitz norm of the velocity, we use the classical logarithmic estimate: for $s>\frac{5}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|v\|_{L^2}+\|\omega\|_{L^\infty}\log(e+\|v\|_{H^s})\\ &\lesssim \|v\|_{L^2}+\|\omega\|_{L^\infty}\log(e+\|v\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t H^{s,\Psi}}),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used in the last line the embedding $H^{s,\Psi}\hookrightarrow H^s$. Combining this estimate with (4.10), Proposition 4.1-(a) and Proposition 4.6, we get

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\le\Phi_4(t)\bigg(1+t+\int_0^t\|\nabla v(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}d\tau\bigg).$$

This gives by Gronwall's inequality

$$\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty}\le\Phi_5(t).$$

Plugging this estimate into (4.10), we obtain finally

$$f(t):=\|v\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t H^{s,\Psi}}+\|\rho\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t H^{s-2,\Psi}}+\|\rho\|_{\widetilde{L}^1_t H^{s,\Psi}}\le\Phi_6(t).$$

This end the proof of the proposition. □

4.2. **Inviscid limit.** we will prove that the family $(v_\nu,\rho_\nu)_{\nu>0}$ is converges strongly in $L^\infty_TH^s\times L^\infty_TH^{s-2}$ to the solution (v,ρ) of the Euler-stratified system (1.6) as $\nu\to0$. More precisely, we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $s>\frac{5}{2}$, v^0 be an axisymmetric divergence-free vector field such that $v^0\in H^s$ and $\rho^0\in\chi^s_m$ with $6<m$. Then the solution (v_ν,ρ_ν) to the system (1.1) converges strongly as $\nu\to0$ to the unique solution (v,ρ) of the system (1.6) in $L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^s)\times L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{s-2})$.*
More precisely, there exists $\Psi\in\mathcal{U}_\infty$ depending on the profile of the initial data and such that for every $T>0$

$$\|v_\nu-v\|_{L^\infty_TH^s}+\|\rho_\nu-\rho\|_{L^\infty_TH^{s-2}}\le\Big(\sqrt{\nu}+\frac{1}{\Psi(\log(\frac{1}{\nu}))}\Big)\Phi_7(T).$$

Proof. We will proceed in two steps. In the first one, we prove that for any fixed $T>0$, the family $(v_\nu,\rho_\nu)_\nu$ converges strongly in $L^\infty_TL^2$ when $\nu\to0$, to the solution (v,ρ) of the system (1.6) with initial data (v^0,ρ^0). In the second step, we will show how to get the strong convergence in the Sobolev spaces $L^\infty_TH^s\times L^\infty_TH^{s-2}$, with $s>\frac{5}{2}$.
We set

$$W_\nu:=v_\nu-v,\ \ \Pi_\nu=p_\nu-p\ \text{ and }\ \eta_\nu=\rho_\nu-\rho.$$

Then we obtain the equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_t W_\nu + v_\nu\cdot\nabla W_\nu + W_\nu\cdot\nabla v - \nu\Delta W_\nu + \nabla\Pi_\nu = \nu\Delta v + \eta_\nu\, e_z\\
\partial_t\eta_\nu + v_\nu\cdot\nabla\eta_\nu - \Delta\eta_\nu = -W_\nu\cdot\nabla\rho\\
\operatorname{div} W_\nu = 0\\
(W_\nu,\eta_\nu)_{|t=0} = 0.
\end{array}\right.
\tag{4.11}
$$

First, we take the L^2 inner product of the first equation of (4.11) with W_ν, integrating by parts and using Hölder inequality, we get

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|W_\nu(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu\|\nabla W_\nu\|_{L^2}^2 \le \nu\|\Delta v\|_{L^2}\|W_\nu\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|W_\nu\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\eta_\nu\|_{L^2}\|W_\nu\|_{L^2}.
$$

This gives,

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\|W_\nu(t)\|_{L^2} \le \nu\|\Delta v\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|W_\nu\|_{L^2} + \|\eta_\nu\|_{L^2}.
$$

Integrating in time this last inequality , we obtain

$$
\|W_\nu(t)\|_{L^2} \le \nu\|\Delta v\|_{L^1_tL^2} + \|\eta_\nu\|_{L^1_tL^2} + \int_0^t \|\nabla v(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\|W_\nu(\tau)\|_{L^2}d\tau.
$$

From the inequality

$$
\|\Delta v\|_{L^2} \le C\|v\|_{H^s},\quad s\ge 2
$$

and by using Gronwall inequality, Proposition 4.7, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|W_\nu\|_{L^\infty_tL^2} &\lesssim \Big(\nu\|\Delta v\|_{L^1_tL^2} + \|\eta_\nu\|_{L^1_tL^2}\Big)e^{\|\nabla v\|_{L^1_tL^\infty}}\\
&\le \Big(\nu t\|v\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_tH^s} + \|\eta_\nu\|_{L^1_tL^2}\Big)\Phi_6(t)\\
&\le \Big(\nu + \|\eta_\nu\|_{L^1_tL^2}\Big)\Phi_6(t).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.12}
$$

It remains to estimate $\|\eta_\nu\|_{L^1_tL^2}$. For this purpose, we apply the maximum principle to the second equation of (4.11), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\eta_\nu(t)\|_{L^2} &\le \int_0^t \|W_\nu\cdot\nabla\rho(\tau)\|_{L^2}d\tau\\
&\lesssim \|W_\nu\|_{L^\infty_tL^2}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^1_tL^\infty}\\
&\le \Phi_4(t)\|W_\nu\|_{L^\infty_tL^2},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.13}
$$

where we have used Proposition 4.6. Putting now (4.13) into (4.12) and using Gronwall inequality, we get for all $t\in[0,T]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|W_\nu\|_{L^\infty_tL^2} &\le \Big(\nu + \int_0^t \Phi_4(\tau)\|W_\nu\|_{L^\infty_\tau L^2}d\tau\Big)\Phi_6(t)\\
&\le \nu\Phi_7(t).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.14}
$$

This gives in view of (4.13) that,

$$
\|\eta_\nu\|_{L^\infty_tL^2} \le \nu\Phi_7(t)\ \ \forall t\in[0,T]..
$$

Therefore

$$
\|W_\nu\|_{L^\infty_tL^2} + \|\eta_\nu\|_{L^\infty_tL^2} \le \nu\Phi_7(t)\ \ \forall t\in[0,T].
$$

This achieves the proof of the strong convergence in $L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2)$.

Let us now turn to the proof of the strong convergence in the Sobolev spaces. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$, that will be chosen later, then by definition of Sobolev space we have $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(v_\nu - v)(t)\|^2_{H^s} &= \sum_{q \le M} 2^{2qs}\|\Delta_q(v_\nu - v)(t)\|^2_{L^2} + \sum_{q > M} 2^{2qs}\|\Delta_q(v_\nu - v)(t)\|^2_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim 2^{2Ms}\|(v_\nu - v)(t)\|^2_{L^2} + \frac{1}{\Psi^2(M)}\sum_{q>M}\Psi^2(q)2^{2qs}\Big(\|\Delta_q v_\nu(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_q v(t)\|_{L^2}\Big)^2\\
&\lesssim 2^{2Ms}\|W_\nu(t)\|^2_{L^2} + \frac{1}{\Psi^2(M)}\Big(\|v_\nu(t)\|^2_{H^{s,\Psi}} + \|v(t)\|^2_{H^{s,\Psi}}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

We have used the fact that the profile Ψ is nondecreasing. Now, we use (4.14) and Proposition 4.7, to get

$$\|(v_\nu - v)(t)\|^2_{H^s} \le \Big(2^{2Ms}\nu^2 + \frac{1}{\Psi^2(M)}\Big)\Phi_7(t).$$

It is enough to choose M such that

$$e^{2Ms} \approx \frac{1}{\nu}.$$

Therefore we obtain that

$$\|(v_\nu - v)(t)\|^2_{H^s} \le \Big(\nu + \frac{1}{\Psi^2(\frac{1}{2s}\log(\frac{1}{\nu}))}\Big)\Phi_7(t).$$

Similarly for $\|(\rho_\nu - \rho)(t)\|_{H^{s-2}}$, we obtain finally

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\rho_\nu - \rho)(t)\|^2_{H^{s-2}} &\le \Big(\nu + \frac{1}{\Psi^2(\frac{1}{2(s-2)}\log(\frac{1}{\nu}))}\Big)\Phi_7(t)\\
&\le \Big(\nu + \frac{1}{\Psi^2(\frac{1}{2s}\log(\frac{1}{\nu}))}\Big)\Phi_7(t).
\end{aligned}$$

In the last line, we have used the fact that the profile Ψ is nondecreasing. Now for any $\lambda > 0$, the function defined by $\Psi_\lambda(x) := \Psi(\lambda x)$ belongs to the same class $\mathcal{U}_\infty$. Therefore, we get by modify Ψ :

$$\|(v_\nu - v)(t)\|_{H^s} + \|(\rho_\nu - \rho)(t)\|_{H^{s-2}} \le \Big(\sqrt{\nu} + \frac{1}{\Psi(\log(\frac{1}{\nu}))}\Big)\Phi_7(t).$$

It follows that

$$\|v_\nu - v\|_{L^\infty_T H^s} + \|\rho_\nu - \rho\|_{L^\infty_T H^{s-2}} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \ \ \nu \to 0.$$

This achieved the desired result of the proposition. □

REFERENCES

[1] H. Abidi: *Résultats de régularité de solutions axisymétriques pour le système de Navier-Stokes*. Bull. Sc. Math. **132** (2008), no **7**, p. 592–624.

[2] H. Abidi and T. Hmidi and S. Keraani: *On the global well-posedness for the axisymmetric Euler equations*. Math. Annal., **347** (2010), no **1**, p. 15–41.

[3] H. Abidi, T. Hmidi and S. Keraani, *On the global reqularity of axisymmetric Navier-Stokes Boussinesq system.* Discrete. Contin. Dyn. Syst., **29** (2011), no. **3**, 737–756.

[4] J. T. Beale, T. Kato and A. Majda : *Remarks on the Breakdown of Smooth Solutions for the 3-D Euler Equations*. Commun. Math. Phys. **94** (1984), p. 61–66.

[5] J.-M. Bony, *Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires.* Ann. de l'Ecole Norm. Sup., **14** (1981), p. 209–246.

[6] J.-Y. Chemin, *Perfect incompressible fluids.* Oxford University Press (1998).

[7] R. Danchain, *Axisymmetric incompressible flows with bounded vorticity.* Russia. Math. Surveys, **62**, (2007), no **3**, p. 475–496.

[8] T. Hmidi, *Régularité höldérienne des poches de tourbillon visqueuses*, J. Math Pures Appl. (9) **84** (2005), no. **11**, 1455–1495.

[9] F. Fujita and T. Kato, *On the nonstationnary Navier-Stokes system*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **32**, (1962), p 243–260.

[10] T. Hmidi, *Low Mach number limit for the isentropic Euler system with axisymmetric initial data.* Accepté au Journal de l'institut de Jussieu (2012).

[11] T. Hmidi and S. Keraani, *On the global well-posedness of the Boussinesq system with zero viscosity.* Indiana. univ. Math. J. **58** (2009), no **4**, 1591–1618.

[12] T. Hmidi, S. Keraani, F. Rousset: *Global well-posedness for Euler-Boussinesq system.* Comm. Partial Differential Equations. **36** (2011), no **3**, 420–445.

[13] T. Hmidi and F. Rousset, *Global well-posedness for the Euler-Boussinesq system with axisymmetric data.* J. Funct Anal, **260** (2011), no **3**,745–796.

[14] T. Hmidi and M. Zerguine, *Inviscid limit for axisymmetric Navier-Stokes system.* Diff. and Integ. Equa., **22**, no. 11-12, (2009), p 1223–1246.

[15] H. Koch, D. Tataru *Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations* Adv. Math., **157**, (1) (2001), p. 22–35.

[16] P. G. Lemarié : *Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problem*, CRC Press, (2002).

[17] S. Leonardi, J. Málek, J. Nećas, M. Pokorný *On axially symmetric flows in* $\mathbb{R}^3$. Zeitschrift fur analysis and ihre anwendungen. J. Anal. Appl. **18** (3) (1999),p. 639-649.

[18] J. Leray, *Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueuse emplissant l'espace.* Acta Math. **63** (1934), 193-248.

[19] A. Majda, *Vorticity and the mathematical theory of an incompressible fluid flow*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, **38** (1986), 187–220.

[20] N. Masmoudi, *Remarks about the inviscid limit of the Navier-Stokes system.* Comm. Math. Phys. **270** (2007), no **3**, 777–788.

[21] R. O Neil, *Convolution operators and L(p,q) spaces*, Duke Math. J. **30** (1963), 129–142.

[22] F. Planchon *Global stong solutions in Sobolev or Lebesgue spaces for the incompressible Navier-Stokes in* $\mathbb{R}^3$. Ann. Institu. H. Poincaré Anal. Non linéaire **13** (3) (1996), p. 319–336.

[23] T. Shirota, T. Yanagisawa, *Note on global existence for axially symmetric solutions of the Euler system*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **70** (1994), no. 10, 299–304.

[24] T. Hmidi and S. Sulaiman :*Incompressible limit for the 2D isentropic Euler system with critical initial data.* arxiv.1203.3871v1.

[25] S. Sulaiman, *On the global existence for the axisymmetric Euler-Boussinesq system in critical Besov space.* Asymptot. Anal. journal, **77** (2012), no. 1-2, 89–121.

[26] M. R. Uhkovskii, V. I. Iudovich, *Axially symmetric flows of ideal and viscous fluids filling the whole space*, Prikl. Mat. Meh. **32** (1968), no **1**, 59–69.

IRMAR, Université de Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 35 042 Rennes cedex, France
E-mail address: `samira.sulaiman@univ-rennes1.fr`